

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/456,371	•	12/08/1999	HEINRICH BOLLMANN	12010	6395
28484	7590	07/16/2004		EXAMINER	
BASF COI			CHANG, VICTOR S		
LEGAL DEPARTMENT 1609 BIDDLE AVENUE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WYANDOT	WYANDOTTE, MI 48192			1771	
				DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/456,371	BOLLMANN ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Victor S Chang	1771					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 02 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the second content of the	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amond the shortened statutory period for reply of the shortened statutory period for the shortened statutory period statutor	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	e later than three months after the mail	ing date of the final rejection, even if					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE: .	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would it canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		parate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached NOTE.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belov	☑ will be entered and an w or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19,20,22,23 and 30</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approx	oved or b) disapproved by the	e Examiner.					
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>					
10. Other:							

Art Unit: 1771

NOTE

- 1. Upon reconsideration, Applicants' arguments regarding the claim objection and rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in sections 4 and 6 of previous Office action mailed 5/11/2004 are persuasive. As such, the aforementioned objection and rejection are withdrawn.
- 2. With respect to Applicants' argument "Applicant is not claiming a process of forming a molded article, but is claiming a composite damping element received in a transverse link, a longitudinal link, a triangular link, a rear-axle subframe, a stabilizer, a spring-strut support, or a shock-absorber ..." (Remarks, page 7, first full paragraph) and "the Examiner is relying on impermissible hindsight to reach a determination of obviousness and there is no suggestion, teaching, or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine the reference teachings." (Remarks, page 8, first full paragraph), the Examiner notes that while certain embodiment, with proper structural recitation, may proven to be patentable, it is noted that the totality of the instantly claimed embodiments in the preamble including structurally non-descriptive species, such as a shock-absorber, which clearly fail to exclude the applied art from the scope thereof, because Bauvois clearly teaches a composite damping element, which absorbs shocks (see Office action mailed 1/7/2004, page 4, bottom paragraph), Applicants' argument to the contrary notwithstanding.

Application/Control Number: 09/456,371

Art Unit: 1771

With respect to Applicants' argument "Bauvois does not teach or suggest chemically bonding the foam core to the thermoplastic layer to produce the composite damping element." (Remarks, page 9, bottom paragraph), and Applicants also point to column 3, lines 50-68 in Bauvois' reference as teaching "An exothermic reaction occurs as the foam core 16 expands and the resin physically bonds the polyurethane shell 13". However, the Examiner notes that a careful reading of Bauvois reference does not provide the evidence that the bonding is "physically". Further, the Examiner repeats (see Office action mailed 5/11/2004, page 4, center paragraph) that since Bauvois' invention clearly teaches essentially the same process for forming the damping element as the instantly claimed invention, in the absence of unexpected results, the resulting bonding between the TPU shell element and the microporous polyurethane foam is anticipated to be the same.

With respect to Applicants' argument that "The foam core 16 is not repeatedly stressed and any such stresses are absorbed and damped by the polyurethane shell 13, the upper rigid plate 14 ..." (Remarks, page 10, first full paragraph), the Examiner notes that the number of stresses being repeated is not recited in any of the claims; further, the fact Bauvois discloses additional structural elements not claimed is irrelevant.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor S Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-1474. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

Art Unit: 1771

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Victor S Chang Examiner

Art Unit 1771

7/13/04

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700